From: Nicholas Perez
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 11:27am

Subject: Why I think the Proposed Settlement is Wrong.

Perhaps I am going out on a limb here, but with a convicted monopolist why are you even considering listen

ing to their "proposal" for a "settlement". Would you listen to a murderer talk about restrictions on his daily life in the hopes of not getting the chair? It is painfully clear that the judge should simply ignor

anything that comes from the convicted monopolist, and develope her own redmedies that seek to punish Micr

osoft for it's ill gotten gains. Suppose the Microsoft settlement is accepted, does microsoft lose any of the _billions_ of dollars it has gained from breaking the law?? Does microsoft retain its market position as a monopoly that has stiffled innovation and bullied other competing businesses? A convicted criminal wo

uld say or do anything to get his sentence reduced, even lie, cheat, or threaten the court. I simply do no t see the "proposed remedy" being a remedy any more than one inch bandaid being a proper dressing for a de

ep bleeding gash. As a law abiding, tax paying citizen, I strongly oppose my tax dollars being _wasted_ on

such an endeavor as this proposed settlement. That is all.

Nicholas Perez 303.871.5446 Denver University 1901 E. Illif Ave. Denver, CO 80210

Please use one of the following address to reply to this message: NicholasRPerez@yahoo.com NPerez@du.edu NicholasP@ssprd.org